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#### Abstract

The crystal and molecular structure of the title compound has been determined by $X$-ray diffraction methods. Crystals are monoclinic with $a=18 \cdot 14(3), b=6 \cdot 10(2), c=18 \cdot 67(3) \AA, \beta=119^{\circ} 40^{\prime}\left(10^{\prime}\right)$, space group $P 2 / c$. and $Z=4$; each molecule has $C_{2}$ crystallographic symmetry. The structure was refined to $R 0.083$ on 1765 nonzero structure amplitudes collected photographically. The molecules exist in the eclipsed-form with an exomethyl configuration. The cyclopentadienyl rings bonded to one iron atom are twisted with respect to each other by 21.5 and $23.9^{\circ}$ in the two independent molecules, while the angle of tilt between such rings is slight ( $2-3^{\circ}$ ). There are dihedral angles of $30^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ and $31^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ between cyclopentadienyl rings in the same ligand in the two molecules. There is considerable geometric evidence that the molecular conformation is dictated by intramolecular $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts, both within ligands and between ligands. The Fe $\cdots$ Fe distances are $4.620(2)$ and $4.595(2) \AA$.


Crystallographic measurements have revealed that the two cyclopentadienyl rings in ferrocene and ferrocene derivatives can take up many different relative orientations. These orientations can be described by an angle of twist, defined as the mean of the angles of projection made by a carbon in one ring to the nearest carbon in the second ring, viewed down the line joining the centres of mass of the two rings (i.e. the angle $\alpha$ in Figure 1), and an angle of tilt, which is the angle be-


Figure 1 The angle of twist ( $\alpha$ ) between two cyclopentadienyl rings in a ferrocene unit
tween the normals to the best planes through the two rings. While the cyclopentadienyl rings are staggered by $36^{\circ}$ in crystalline ferrocene, ${ }^{1}$ very small angles of twist are reported for $1,1^{\prime}$-diacetylferrocene ${ }^{2}$ and ferrocene-1, $1^{\prime}$-dicarboxylic acid. ${ }^{3}$ Large angles of tilt have been found in molecules such as $1,1^{\prime}$-tetramethylethyleneferrocene, ${ }^{4}$ in $\alpha$-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}$-trimethyleneferrocene, ${ }^{5}$ and in $1,1^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}$-bis(trimethylene)ferrocene, ${ }^{6}$ where the cyclopentadienyl rings are bridged by two- or threecarbon chains. Some molecules with two 'ferrocene units' have been studied crystallographically. These include biferrocenyl (I), ${ }^{7,8}$ and biferrocenyl ketone

[^0](II), ${ }^{9}$ where angles of twist of $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}{ }^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ}$, respectively, have been found but with very small angles of tilt, and bis(fulvaleneiron) (III), ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0}}$ and bis-(asymindacenyliron) (IV), ${ }^{11}$ where the angles of twist are constrained to values close to zero.

The synthesis of 1,12 -dimethyl $[1,1]$ ferrocenophane (V) $\dagger$ has been reported. ${ }^{12}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of (V) was interpreted in terms of an exo-methyl configuration, and, on the basis of non-bonded interactions between methine hydrogens, the gauche geometry (VI) was preferred to the eclipsed form (VII). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2}} \mathrm{We}$ have carried out a single-crystal $X$-ray analysis on (V) in order to check these assignments and to provide structural information on an example of a [1,1]-ferrocenophane molecule. A preliminary account of this work has been published. ${ }^{13}$ The chemistry of ferrocenophanes has recently been reviewed. ${ }^{14}$

## EXPERIMENTAL

A sample of (V) was recrystallized from light petroleum (b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as orange prisms elongated along the $b$ axis, in which direction the cross-section is rhomboidal, with the corners of the crystal along the major diagonal often truncated. The lengths of the major and minor diagonals were 0.22 and 0.12 mm . The two well-developed faces parallel to $b$ were identified as (001) and (100).

Crystal Data.- $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}, M=424 \cdot 2$. Monoclinic, $a=$ $18 \cdot 14(3), b=6 \cdot 10(2), c=18 \cdot 67(3) \AA, \beta=119^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \quad\left(10^{\prime}\right)$, $U=1795 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, \quad D_{\mathrm{m}}=1.51, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.57$. Space group $P 2 / c$ or $P c$ from systematic absences: $h 0 l$, when $l=2 n+1$. Cell data were obtained from precession photographs ( $\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}, \lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ).

Data were obtained by the equi-inclination Weissenberg method, by use of $\mathrm{Co}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.790 \AA$ ). In-
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tensities were estimated visually by comparison with a calibrated strip. The levels $h 0-4 l$ were measured, giving a total of 1765 independent non-zero intensities. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, and
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spot-shape variation, but not for absorption $\left[\mu\left(\mathrm{Co}-K_{\alpha}\right)=\right.$ $\left.29 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]$. The separate layers of data were initially placed on the same relative scale by correlation of exposure times.
Structure Analysis.-An initial assumption that the space group was $P 2_{1} / c$ did not provide an explanation for the large number of peaks on the $P(u, 0, w)$ section of the Patterson map, nor did it account satisfactorily for the
single large peak in a general position on the $P\left(u, \frac{1}{2}, w\right)$ section. A careful re-examination of the precession photographs led us to consider the space group to be either $P 2 / c$ or Pc. The latter space group would require two crystallographically independent ferrocenophane molecules and four independent iron atoms in the asymmetric unit. We could find no evidence to support such an arrangement, while many of the larger peaks could be explained if

## Table 1

Final positional parameters, in fractions of the unit cell edge with standard deviations in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 11332(10)$ | 0.0494(3) | 0.88295(9) |
| C(1) | 0.0760 (6) | $0 \cdot 258(2)$ | $0.7117(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 1239$ (5) | $0 \cdot 099(2)$ | 0.7801 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 1992$ (5) | $0 \cdot 164(2)$ | $0 \cdot 8536(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0 \cdot 2330$ (6) | -0.022(2) | 0.9061 (6) |
| C(5) | $0 \cdot 1766$ (7) | -0.196(2) | $0.8648(6)$ |
| C(6) | 0.1116(6) | -0.123(2) | $0.7898(6)$ |
| C(7) | 0.0143 (6) | -0.038(2) | $0.9017(5)$ |
| C(8) | 0.0918 (7) | $-0.034(3)$ | $0.9773(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0 \cdot 1261$ (7) | $0 \cdot 182(3)$ | 0.9879 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0 \cdot 0689$ (7) | $0 \cdot 306(2)$ | 0.9184(7) |
| C(11) | 0.0023 (6) | $0 \cdot 174(1)$ | $0 \cdot 8652(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $0 \cdot 1358(6)$ | $0 \cdot 356(2)$ | $0 \cdot 6825(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.59819 (9) | $0 \cdot 2378(3)$ | 0.20627 (9) |
| C(1') | $0.4111(5)$ | $0 \cdot 446(2)$ | $0 \cdot 1406(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.4811(5)$ | $0 \cdot 357(2)$ | $0 \cdot 1279$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.5451 (6) | $0.501(2)$ | $0 \cdot 1303(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.5948 (7) | 0.376(2) | $0 \cdot 1060(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 5608$ (7) | $0 \cdot 177(3)$ | $0.0858(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 4905$ (6) | $0 \cdot 156(2)$ | 0.0998 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.6112(6)$ | $0 \cdot 064(2)$ | $0 \cdot 3043$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.6689 (6) | $-0.014(2)$ | $0 \cdot 2796$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.7213 (5) | $0 \cdot 162(2)$ | $0 \cdot 2842$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 6967$ (5) | $0 \cdot 345(2)$ | $0 \cdot 3130(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 6285(4)$ | $0 \cdot 284(2)$ | $0.3258(5)$ |
| C(23') | 0.3416(6) | $0 \cdot 540$ (2) | $0.0574(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 051$ (5) | 0.42(2) | 0.733 (5) |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 223(6)$ | $0 \cdot 30(2)$ | 0.859 (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $0 \cdot 285$ (6) | -0.05(2) | $0 \cdot 966$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $0 \cdot 187(6)$ | -0.30(2) | $0.902(6)$ |
| H(6) | $0 \cdot 074(6)$ | -0.23(2) | $0 \cdot 774(6)$ |
| H(7) | -0.016(6) | -0.17(2) | $0 \cdot 878(6)$ |
| H(8) | $0 \cdot 131(5)$ | -0.14(2) | 1.031(6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | $0 \cdot 165(6)$ | 0.24(2) | 1.040 (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | $0 \cdot 087$ (6) | $0 \cdot 43$ (2) | $0.912(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{a})$ | $0 \cdot 181$ (6) | $0 \cdot 45$ (2) | $0 \cdot 729$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0 \cdot 105(3)$ | $0 \cdot 46(2)$ | $0 \cdot 625$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{c})$ | $0.153(6)$ | $0 \cdot 20(2)$ | $0 \cdot 662(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.421 (6) | 0.60(2) | $0 \cdot 158(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 520$ (6) | $0 \cdot 68(2)$ | $0 \cdot 125$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 654(6)$ | 0.41 (2) | $0 \cdot 111(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 576(7)$ | $0.06(2)$ | $0 \cdot 084(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 449$ (6) | 0.03(2) | 0.078(6) |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 564(6)$ | -0.02(2) | $0 \cdot 296(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 677$ (6) | -0.12(2) | $0 \cdot 258$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.761 (6) | $0 \cdot 13$ (2) | $0 \cdot 262(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(10^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.719 (6) | 0.48(2) | $0 \cdot 311(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(23 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 316(6)$ | $0 \cdot 39(2)$ | $0 \cdot 020$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(23 \mathrm{~b}^{\prime}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 306(6)$ | 0.62(2) | $0 \cdot 073(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(23 \mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.356(5) | 0.67(2) | 0.029(6) |

$P 2 / c$ were the true space group. A detailed study of the Patterson map indicated that we were dealing, not with one independent ferrocenophane molecule, but with two independent ferrocenophane 'half-molecules,' each ferrocenophane molecule having $C_{2}$ crystallographic symmetry.

The positions of the two independent iron atoms were obtained by the identification of the $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{Fe}$ vectors in the Patterson map. An electron-density map, based on the signs of the contribution of the iron atoms to the calculated structure factors, allowed the positions of all the carbon atoms to be determined. Inclusion of all the iron

Table 2
Final thermal parameters with standard deviations in parentheses *

|  | $\begin{array}{r} b_{11} \\ \left(\times 10^{4}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} b_{22} \\ \left(\times 10^{3}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} b_{33} \\ \left(\times 10^{4}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} b_{12} \\ \left(\times 10^{3}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{13} \\ \left(\times 10^{4}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} b_{23} \\ \times 10^{3} \end{array}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | 29(1) | 20(1) | 18(1) | 1(1) | 22 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C(1) | 36(4) | 4(4) | $22(3)$ | -3(3) | 37(6) | -1(2) |
| C(2) | 23(3) | 8(4) | $22(3)$ | 2(2) | 26(6) | $1(2)$ |
| C(3) | 28(4) | 10(4) | 36(4) | -3(2) | $33(7)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| C(4) | $36(4)$ | $30(5)$ | $33(4)$ | $5(2)$ | $34(8)$ | $2(2)$ |
| C(5) | 47(5) | 17(5) | $35(4)$ | $8(3)$ | 41(8) | 7 (2) |
| C(6) | $34(4)$ | 3(4) | $35(4)$ | $2(2)$ | 44(7) | $2(2)$ |
| C(7) | 47(5) | 15(4) | 28(4) | 1(2) | 49(7) | $2(2)$ |
| C(8) | $55(6)$ | 54(7) | 16(4) | $9(3)$ | 30(8) | 7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 46(5) | 57(7) | 19(4) | -1(3) | 6(8) | -11(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 46(5) | 30(5) | 42(5) | -9(3) | 57(9) | $-15(3)$ |
| C(11) | 33(4) | 5(4) | $22(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $30(6)$ | -5(2) |
| C(23) | 40(4) | 17(4) | 38(4) | -2(2) | 49(8) | 6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $27(1)$ | 16(1) | 15(1) | 0 (1) | 22(1) | $-1.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $32(4)$ | -6(3) | $21(3)$ | 1(2) | $25(6)$ | 3 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 27(4) | 12(3) | 13(2) | 0 (2) | 19(5) | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 36(4) | 26(2) | $22(3)$ | 2(2) | $30(7)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 47(5) | $32(5)$ | 40(5) | -2(3) | 61 (9) | $1(3)$ |
| C(5) | 40(5) | $44(8)$ | 29(4) | $5(3)$ | $38(8)$ | $-5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 36(4) | 21(4) | 18(3) | -1(2) | 30(6) | -5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $35(4)$ | 3(4) | $32(4)$ | $1(2)$ | 36(7) | 0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 36(4) | 6(4) | $32(4)$ | $5(2)$ | 27(7) | -2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | 33(4) | 15(4) | 25(3) | $1(2)$ | 28(6) | -1(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)$ | 24(4) | 16(4) | $21(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | 22 (6) | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | 23(3) | 1(4) | 19(3) | 0 (3) | 11(5) | 2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(23^{\prime}\right)$ | 44(5) | 23(5) | 23(4) | $4(2)$ | 33(7) | 8(2) |

* All hydrogen atoms were given a $B_{\theta}$ of $4 \cdot 0 \AA^{2}$. Thermal parameters are expressed as $\exp -\left[b_{11} h^{2}+b_{22} k^{2}+b_{33} l^{2}+\right.$ $\left.b_{12} h k+b_{13} h l+b_{23} k l\right]$.
and carbon atoms in a structure-factor calculation, gave $R$ $\mathbf{0 . 2 7}$. A cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement on
on all the atoms other than hydrogen gave $R 0 \cdot 15$. The weighting scheme had $\sqrt{ } w=\left|F_{0}\right| / 26 \cdot 9$, when $\left|F_{0}\right|<26 \cdot 9$, $\sqrt{ } w=26 \cdot 9 /\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$, when $\left|F_{0}\right| \geqslant 26.9$, and the quantity minimized was $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{0}\right|\right) .^{2}$ Before further refinement, the scale factors of the individual $h n l$ layers of data were adjusted so that $k_{n} \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|=\Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ for each layer. Refinement of positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for the two iron atoms, and positional and isotropic thermal parameters for the carbon atoms gave $R \quad 0.11$. The positions of the twenty-four hydrogen atoms were obtained from a three-dimensional differenceFourier map. Least-squares refinement of the positional parameters of the hydrogen atoms as well as the positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms gave a final $R$ of 0.083 on the 1765 observed data. The final weighted factor $R^{\prime}$ was $0.092\left\{R^{\prime}=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}$. In the final least-squares refinement, the isotropic thermal parameters for the hydrogen atoms were held constant at $4 \AA^{2}$. In the refinements involving the hydrogen atoms it was necessary to refine each ' molecule' separately owing to computer storage limitations.

The final values for the positional and thermal parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2 . The final values of the observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 20492 ( $3 \mathrm{pp} ., 1$ microfiche).* The scattering factors for iron(ii) and carbon were taken from ref. 15 with a correction for the real component of anomalous dispersion for iron from ref. 16; the scattering curve for hydrogen was taken from ref. 17.

The anisotropic temperature factor for $C\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ is not positive definite. $\dagger$ This is probably due to the presence


Figure 2 Stereoscopic views of the two molecules of (V); (a) molecule (1), (b) molecule (2)
the positional and isotropic temperature parameters of the iron atoms, followed by two cycles of similar refinement

* See note about Supplementary Publications in Notice to Authors No. 7, in J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, Issue No. 20.
$\dagger$ A refinement with the temperature factor for $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)$ isotropic gave a $B_{\theta}$ value of $1.7 \AA^{2}$ and resulted in values of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ of 0.084 and 0.093 . No significant changes in molecular dimensions occurred.
in the data of some systematic errors which are, however, unlikely to cause serious errors in the positional parameters.
15 'International Tables for $X$-Ray Crystallography,' vol. III, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962, pp. 201-212.
${ }^{16} \mathrm{R}$. W. James, in 'The Optical Principles of the Diffraction of $X$-Rays,' Bell, London, 1962, pp. 135-192, 608-610.
${ }^{17}$ R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 42, 3175.


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis confirm the exo-methyl configuration as suggested by Watts, ${ }^{12}$ but show that the molecules exist in the eclipsed rather than the expected gauche form. The two crystallographically independent 'half molecules' crystallize with $C_{2}$ symmetry and stereoscopic pictures of the two molecules [hereafter referred to as molecule (1), unprimed, and molecule (2), primed] are shown in Figure 2. There is a very similar geometrical arrangement in the two independent molecules. Figure 3 shows the atom numbering used


Figure 3 A single molecule of (V) viewed along the $b$ axis and showing the $\alpha$-protons which determine the molecular conformation

Table 3
Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) with standard deviations in parentheses *

|  | Molecule (1) | Molecule (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe... C(2) | 2.046(9) | 2.025 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.015(9)$ | 2.039(12) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{C}(4)$ | 2.040(13) | 2.027(13) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(5)$ | 2.014(12) | $2.036(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(6)$ | 2.019(11) | 2.042(13) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(7)$ | 2.062(11) | 2.024(11) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(8)$ | 2.048(11) | 2.036(12) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(9)$ | 2.022(13) | 2.025(13) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(10)$ | 2.014(12) | 2.018 (12) |
| $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{C}(11)$ | 2.020(11) | 2.037(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1-493(13) | 1.504(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.554(15) | 1.545(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.526(14) | 1.528(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1-432(13) | 1-437(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.399(15) | 1.377(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1-427(16) | 1-413(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1-407(17) | $1.330(22)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}$ (6) | 1.384(16) | 1-428(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1-416(16) | 1-420(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.426(14) | $1 \cdot 390$ (14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.424(23)$ | 1-407(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1-414(19) | 1-407(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1-380(16) | 1-423(13) |

* $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances are $0.77-1 \cdot 23(10) \AA$.
in the analysis. Bond distances (uncorrected for thermal librations) and angles in the two molecules are given in Tables 3 and 4 . The estimated standard deviations for $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds are $0.009-0.014$, for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds $0.013-$ $0.023 \AA$, and for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angles $0.6-1 \cdot 2^{\circ}$. The dimensions found in the molecules are in fairly close
agreement. The mean $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distance in the four crystallographically independent cyclopentadienyl rings is $1.407 \pm 0.025 \AA$, while the mean internal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle in a ring is $108.0 \pm 1.3^{\circ}$. The mean bond length agrees well with that found in ferrocene ( $1 \cdot 40 \AA$ ), ${ }^{1}$ but is slightly shorter than those found in ferrocene

Table 4
Bond angles (deg.) * with standard deviations in parentheses

|  | Molecule (1) | Molecule (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 117.5(8) | 115•8(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 109.7(9) | 108.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $106 \cdot 6(6)$ | $109.7(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $121 \cdot 0(8)$ | 120.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $132 \cdot 6(6)$ | 131.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 106.3(6) | 107.7(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 108.7(9) | 107.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 105.9(8) | 107.5(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 109.7(1-2) | 111.2(1-2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 109.4(1-0) | 106.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 107.5(7) | 108.8 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 107.8(9) | 107•8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 107.1(8) | 107.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 109.4(1.2) | $108.7(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 108.2(8) | 107.2 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 127.3(8) | 131.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 123.8(9) | $121.6(8)$ |

* External C-C-H angles are $107-145^{\circ}, \sigma 5-8^{\circ}$.

Table 5
Details of some best planes in the structure ${ }^{a}$

|  | Molecule (1) |  | Molecule (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 0.045 |  | $0 \cdot 190$ |  |
| C(2) | 0.007 |  | -0.007 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -0.010 |  | 0.014 |  |
| C(4) | 0.009 |  | -0.022 |  |
| C(5) | -0.001 |  | 0.014 |  |
| C(6) | $-0.006$ |  | 0.001 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ |  | -0.009 |  | 0.008 |
| C(8) |  | 0.006 |  | -0.007 |
| C(9) |  | 0.005 |  | 0.004 |
| C(10) |  | -0.011 |  | 0.001 |
| C(11) |  | 0.009 |  | -0.004 |
| C(12) |  | $-0.149$ |  | -0.047 |
| C(13) |  | 0.436 |  | $-0.086$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 0.097 |  | $-0.432$ |  |
| C(23) | 1-282 |  | $1 \cdot 709$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ |  | $-1.673$ |  | -1.277 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | -1.634 | $1 \cdot 640$ | $-1.650$ | $1 \cdot 636$ |
| $\chi^{2}$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | 8.8 | $1 \cdot 8$ |
| $P{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>0 \cdot 10$ | $>0 \cdot 10$ | $\sim 0.025$ | $\sim 0.5$ |

a Distances involving atoms included in the plane calculation are italicized. ${ }^{b}$ The probability $(P)$ that the distances of the atoms from the best plane form a normal distribution.
derivatives where bridging groups link the two rings ( 1.42 and $1.44 \AA$ ) of a single 'ferrocene-unit '. 4,5 Librabrational motion will cause an apparent shortening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds and the magnitude of this effect will be greatest in ferrocene where there is less intramolecular constraint (there is considerable evidence to indicate that the barrier to rotation in gaseous ferrocene is very low ${ }^{18}$ ).
The details of some best planes in the structure are given in Table 5 , while Table 6 lists some important

18 E. A. Seibold and L. E. Sutton, J. Chem. Phys., 1955, 23, 1967; R. K. Bohn and A. Haaland, J. Organometallic Chem., 1966, 5, 470; A. Haaland and J. E. Nilsson, Chem. Comm., 1968, 88; Acta Chem. Scand., 1968, 22, 2653; A. Haaland, ibid., 1969, 23, 1836.
intramolecular contacts. Within the accuracy of the analysis, the five atoms in each of the five-membered

Table 6
Important intramolecular contacts $(\AA)$ in the two molecules

|  | Molecule (1) | Molecule (2) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(7)$ | $3 \cdot 374(15)$ | $3 \cdot 377(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \cdots \mathrm{C}(8)$ | $3 \cdot 310(17)$ | $3 \cdot 358(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \cdots \mathrm{C}(9)$ | $3 \cdot 250(18)$ | $3.239(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(10)$ | $3 \cdot 258(16)$ | $3 \cdot 288(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(11)$ | $3 \cdot 328(14)$ | $3 \cdot 350(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(17)$ | $2 \cdot 37(16)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(7) \cdots \mathrm{H}(17)$ | $2 \cdot 53(16)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(7^{\prime}\right) \cdots\left(\mathrm{H} 18^{\prime}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 08(15)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(7^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(17^{\prime}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 51(15)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}(12)$ | $2 \cdot 23(14)$ | $3 \cdot 20(15)$ |

rings are coplanar. The iron atoms lie from $1 \cdot 634$ to $1.650 \AA$ from these planes. In both molecules, there is a
the closest C (ring) $\cdot \mathrm{C}$ (ring) contacts in a 'ferro-cene-unit' are between $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(10)$ and between $C(4)$ and $C(9)$ in both molecules; the longest distance is between $C(6)$ and $C(7)$.

There are also large dihedral angles between the two cyclopentadienyl rings of the same organic ligand. In molecule (1), this angle is $30^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$, while in molecule (2) it is $31^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$. There is an angle of $30^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ between the two rings attached to the carbonyl group in biferrocenyl ketone. ${ }^{9}$ Such large angles are probably dictated by interactions between the $\alpha$-protons (Figure 3) on the cyclopentadienyl rings [e.g., $\mathrm{H}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1 8})$ ].

The cyclopentadienyl rings in each of the two crystallographically independent 'ferrocene units' are also twisted or rotated with respect to each other; in molecule (1) the mean C -(centre of mass of ring 1 )(centre of mass of ring 2)-C projection angle is $21.5^{\circ}$ while that in molecule (2) is $23.9^{\circ}$. Churchill and Wormald ${ }^{19}$ have compiled a Table showing the angles


Figure 4 The packing of molecules in the unit cell
significant difference in the displacement of $C(1)$ from the planes of the two cyclopentadienyl rings to which it is attached. In the molecule (1), C(1) deviates by $0.045 \AA$ from the best plane through the atoms $C(2)-C(6)$, whereas it lies $-0.149 \AA$ from the plane through the atoms $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ [symmetry-related to $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(11)]$; in molecule (2), the corresponding deviations are $0 \cdot 190$ and $-0.047 \AA$. The angle of tilt between the two cyclopentadienyl rings in the molecule (1) is $3^{\circ} 9^{\prime}$, while that in molecule (2) is $2^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$. The nature of the tilt can be appreciated from Table 6, which shows that
of tilt and twist in all the ferrocene derivatives. The angles of twist in the 1,12 -dimethyl[1,1]ferrocenophane molecules are somewhat unusual in that they lie almost mid-way between the fully eclipsed and fully staggered positions.

The conformation of the molecules is probably determined by intramolecular $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ interactions. In the analysis of a $[1,1,1]$ ferrocenophane, it was said ${ }^{20}$

[^1]that the conformation in the $[1,1]$ ferrocenophane will be determined as much by interactions between $\alpha$-protons on different ligands $[$ i.e., $\mathrm{H}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(17)$ and $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{7}^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ see Figure 2] as between $\alpha$-protons on the same ligand $[$ i.e., $\mathrm{H}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(18), \mathrm{H}(7) \cdots \mathrm{H}(17)$, $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{6}^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(18^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathrm{H}\left(7^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(17^{\prime}\right)$, see Figure 2]. These six $H \cdots H$ distances are effectively equal, $2.08-2.51(16) \AA$, within the rather large standard deviations involving such distances, thus tending to confirm the ideas of ref. 20. The very low reliability of the hydrogen positions, however, renders a detailed discussion of these distances meaningless. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles $\left[117 \cdot 5(8)\right.$ and $\left.115 \cdot 8(9)^{\circ}\right]$ at the carbon atom bearing the methyl group are substantially greater than tetrahedral, while the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles external to the cyclopentadienyl rings are significantly unequal (mean $130 \cdot 5^{\circ}$, as against $121 \cdot 7^{\circ}$ ). These differences from normal values are probably a consequence of steric overcrowding between the cyclopentadienyl rings in the same ligand.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of (V) shows that one of the four protons on a cyclopentadienyl ring is deshielded with respect to the other three. Our results would strongly imply that this must be the proton $\alpha$ to the exocyclic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond and on the side of the bond removed from the methyl group [i.e., $\mathrm{H}(6)$ or its equivalent]. The deshielding may result from the unusual steric overcrowding experienced by this proton or be a consequence of its proximity to two cyclopentadienyl rings. ${ }^{12}$

The $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathbf{1}) \cdots \mathrm{Fe}(2)$ distances in the two molecules are $4 \cdot 595(2)$ and $4 \cdot 620(2) \AA)$. These are much longer than the distances reported in bis(asym-indacenyliron) [ $3 \cdot 887(1) \AA],{ }^{11}$ and in bis(fulvaleneiron) [3.984(4) $\left.\AA\right]{ }^{10}{ }^{10}$ but are shorter than the distances ( $5.12-5.97 \AA$ ) found in molecules $7,9,21$ where the iron atoms are trans to the linked cyclopentadienyl rings. Intermolecular contacts $<3.8 \AA$ are given in Table 7 with the molecular packing

Table 7
Intermolecular contacts $<3.8 \AA$

| $\mathrm{C}(18) \cdots \mathrm{C}(8)^{\mathbf{I}}$ | $3 \cdot 65$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(21^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{C}(23)^{\mathrm{II}}$ | $3 \cdot 51$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(16^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{I}}$ | $3 \cdot 58$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(24^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{III}}$ | $3 \cdot 67$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1 7})^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{I}}$ | $3 \cdot 72$ | $\mathrm{C}(16) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(24^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{III}}$ | $3 \cdot 72$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(16^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{C}(4)^{\mathrm{I}}$ | $3 \cdot 79$ | $\mathrm{C}(20) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{IV}}$ | $3 \cdot 76$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{4}^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(24^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{II}}$ | $3 \cdot 79$ | $\mathrm{C}(20) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{IV}}$ | $3 \cdot 65$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(20^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{C}(23)^{\text {II }}$ | $3 \cdot 76$ |  |  |

Roman numerals refer to molecules in the following equivalent positions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { I } x,-y,-\frac{1}{2}+z & \text { II } x, 1-y,-\frac{1}{2}+z \\
\text { III }-1+x,-1+y, z & \text { IV }-1-x, y, z
\end{array}
$$

shown in Figure 4. There are no unusually short distances.

We thank Dr. William E. Watts of the New University of Ulster for supplying the crystals and Ms. Nina Thayer for help in preparation of the manuscript. Computations were carried out on the IBM 7094 and $360-75$ at the University of Illinois.
[2/898 Received, 24th April, 1972]

[^2]
[^0]:    $\dagger 1,1^{\prime \prime}: 1^{\prime}, 1^{\prime \prime \prime}$-diethylidenediferrocenc.
    ${ }^{1}$ J. D. Dunitz, L. E. Orgel, and A. Rich, Acta Cryst., 1956, 9, 373.
    ${ }_{2}$ G. J. Palenik, Inorg. Chem., 1970, 9, 2424.
    ${ }^{3}$ G. J. Palenik, Inorg. Chem., 1969, 8, 2744.
    ${ }^{4}$ M. Burke Laing and K. N. Trueblood, Acta Cryst., 1965, 19, 373.
    ${ }_{5}$ N. D. Jones, R. E. Marsh, and J. H. Richards, Acta Cryst., 1965, 19, 330.
    ${ }^{6}$ I. C. Paul, Chem. Comm., 1966, 377.
    ? A. C. MacDonald and J. Trotter, Acta Cryst., 1964, 17, 872.

[^1]:    ${ }^{19}$ M. R. Churchill and J. Wormald, Inorg. Chem., 1969, 8, 716. ${ }^{20}$ S. J. Lippard and G. Martin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 7291.

[^2]:    ${ }^{21}$ F. Hanic, J. Ševčiḱ, and E. L. McGandy, Chem. zvesti., 1970, 24, 81.

